

Consistency between coordinate transformation rules and dual basis concepts.

We defined df , $f \in C^\infty$ function, by $df(v) = v f$ for each $p \in M$, $v \in T_p M$. Separately, we defined, given a local coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_n) , the items dx_1, \dots, dx_n at $p \in M$ to be the dual basis in $(T_p M)^*$ (= dual of $T_p M$), dual to $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}|_p, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}|_p$. These definitions are consistent because $dx_i(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j})$ at p is, according to the df definition, $= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(dx_i)$ at p which = 1 if $j=i$, 0 if $j \neq i$, consistently with the definition of the basis dual to $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$.

It is interesting to see how this all works under coordinate change. The definition of df does not involve coordinate choice as such. But it is easy to see that in local coordinates

$$df = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i$$

Proof: If $v = \sum a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ at p , then $df(v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v f$
 $= \sum a_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}|_p = \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} dx_j(v) = (\sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} dx_j) v$

since $dx_j(v) = a_j$ (because $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}) = 1$ if $i=j$, 0 if $i \neq j$)
 So df and $\sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i$ give the same answer for all $v \in T_p M$ and hence are equal as elements of $(T_p M)^*$.

Now we have the formula, if $(\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n)$ are also loc. coords: $d\hat{x}_i = \sum_j \frac{\partial \hat{x}_i}{\partial x_j} dx_j$ and we can

check that this formula is consistent with $d\hat{x}_1, \dots, d\hat{x}_n$ being the $(T_p M)^*$ basis dual to $\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}_n}$ basis for $T_p M$: namely we used $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} = \sum_k \frac{\partial \hat{x}_k}{\partial x_l} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}_k}$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j \left(\frac{\partial \hat{x}_i}{\partial x_j} dx_j \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}_l} \right) &= \sum_{j,k} \frac{\partial \hat{x}_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial \hat{x}_l} dx_j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right) \\ &= \sum_j \frac{\partial \hat{x}_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \hat{x}_l} \quad \text{since } dx_j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j=k \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq k \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

But $\sum_j \frac{\partial \hat{x}_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \hat{x}_l} = 1$ if $i=l$, 0 if $i \neq l$

since $\left(\frac{\partial \hat{x}_i}{\partial x_j} \right)$ and $\left(\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \hat{x}_l} \right)$ are inverse matrices of each other. Thus $\sum_j \frac{\partial \hat{x}_i}{\partial x_j} dx_j$ $i=1, \dots, n$ really is the dual basis of $(T_p M)^*$, dual to $\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}_n}$.

We can illustrate this (as usual) by polar coordinates and rectangular coordinates on \mathbb{R}^2 .

$$dr = \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} dy = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} dx + \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} dy$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(from } r = \sqrt{x^2+y^2} \text{) and } d\theta &= \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} dy \\ &= -\frac{y}{x^2+y^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{x}{x^2+y^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad \text{(from } \theta = \arctan\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) \text{)} \\ \text{From before } \frac{\partial}{\partial r} &= \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \cos\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ \text{while } \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = -r \sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + r \cos\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} dr\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) &= \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} dx + \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} dy\right) \left(\cos\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) \\ &= \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \cos\theta dx\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \sin\theta dy\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) \end{aligned}$$

(not "cross terms" since $dx\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) = 0$ and $dy\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) = 0$)

$$= \frac{r \cos\theta}{r} \cos\theta \cdot 1 + \frac{r \sin\theta}{r} \sin\theta$$

$$= \cos^2\theta + \sin^2\theta = 1$$

while

$$dr\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right) = \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} dx + \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} dy\right) \left(-r \sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + r \cos\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)$$

$$= \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} (-r \sin\theta) + \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} (r \cos\theta)$$

$$= \frac{r \cos\theta}{r} (-r \sin\theta) + \frac{r \sin\theta}{r} (r \cos\theta)$$

$$= -\cos\theta \sin\theta + \sin\theta \cos\theta = 0.$$

You can try $d\theta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) = 0$ and $d\theta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right) = 1$ for yourself!
 But actually $d\theta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right) = 1$ is interesting enough
 not to leave as an exercise:

$$d\theta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right) = \left(-\frac{r \sin\theta}{r^2} dx + \frac{r \cos\theta}{r^2} dy\right) \left(-r \sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + r \cos\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)$$

$$= -\frac{r \sin\theta}{r^2} \cdot -r \sin\theta + \frac{r \cos\theta}{r^2} \cdot r \cos\theta$$

$$= \sin^2\theta + \cos^2\theta = 1. \text{ This } \overset{\text{all}}{\underset{1}{\text{clearly}}} \text{ encodes something interesting.}$$